

R E M A R K S

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, favorable reconsideration in this application is respectfully requested.

Restriction Requirements

The non-elected claims stand withdrawn. It is respectfully submitted that new claims 21-23 are directed toward the elected invention and should be examined together with the elected claims. No new matter has been added.

Objection to Specification

The Examiner objects to the title as not being descriptive. A new title is submitted herewith.

Claim Rejection – 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 2 and 10-12 stand rejected as being anticipated by Kim (U.S. Patent No. 6225688).

Kim teaches a multilayer printed circuit in which semiconductor chips 426, 526 are attached to a flexible substrate 410, 510. Accordingly, the Examiner appears to read the semiconductor chips 426, 526 of Kim on the claimed conductive sections. Although semiconductor chips might be conductive to some extent, Kim does not teach “conductive sections” that form “conductive layers” or even that the chips are provided for their conductive

properties. Moreover, Kim does not teach that the conductive sections comprise metallic conductors (claim 21) or conductive elements (claim 22).

The present invention provides conductive sections for the purpose of providing an electric circuit, such as a multilayer winding for a filter (see claim 13). Kim does not teach interconnecting conductive sections to provide an electric circuit, as claimed. Rather, Kim stacks semiconductor chips for the purpose of conserving space in electronic devices. (See Kim, column 7, lines 24-26). Consequently, Kim does not teach or suggest providing conductive sections, conductive layers, or interconnecting those sections to provide an electric circuit. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are patentable over Kim.

In the event that there are any questions relating to this Amendment or to the application in general, it would be appreciated if the Examiner would telephone the undersigned attorney concerning such questions so that the prosecution of this application may be expedited.

Response to Office Action dated Nov. 15, 2005
Application Serial No. 10/806,269

Please charge any shortage or credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account No. 23-2185 (116598.00113). In the event that a petition for an extension of time is required to be submitted herewith and in the event that a separate petition does not accompany this response, Applicant hereby petitions under 37 C.F.R. §1.36 (a) for an extension of time for as many months as are required to render this submission timely. Any fee due is authorized above.

Respectfully submitted,

Mika SIPPOLA

By: 

Peter S. Weissman
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 40,220

Date: February 15, 2006
Customer No. 002779
BLANK ROME LLP
600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037
Telephone: 202-772-5800
Facsimile: 202-772-5858